



# Absolute Air Fluorescence Yield Measurement

Martina Bohacova for the AIRFLY collaboration







### Method and analysis

#### Compare fluorescence yield to a well known process to eliminate photo-detector systematics



• Full MC simulation of the ratio with nominal FLY is compared to the ratio measured in laboratory



# **AIRFLY at the Fermilab Test Beam**



#### Veto Downst.

Signal PMT

#### Veto Upstream

1111111h."

0

Acceptance counter

-----

#### Fluorescence Measurement in $N_2$ 120 GeV protons







## Total simulation of the experiment

- \* using version Geant4.9.2.p02
- Standard electromagnetic processes (protons 120 GeV)
- Cerenkov process implemented by Geant4
- G4ScintillationProcess simulates the fluorescence – nominal yield 20 ph/MeV sampled from the AIRFLY spectrum
- 337 nm line forms 25.75% of the spectrum
- Cut in range 1 mm particles with shorter range deposit all their energy on the spot



Independent calibration using 337 nm laser

Triac

#### PMT NIST Calibrated Probe (5%)

attenuators

# Fluorescence

Integrating sphere known transmission

# Fluorescence Measurement in $N_2$ and air

$$S_{FI}(N_2) - S_{FI}(air) = (FI_{N2} + Bkg) - (FI_{air} + Bkg)$$



 $Fl_{N2} = (19.44 \pm 0.15) \ 10^{-4} \text{ p.e./proton}$ Bkg = (0.61 ± 0.08) 10<sup>-4</sup> p.e./proton Same background from secondaries produced in air and  $N_2$ 

r = 7.45±0.08 measured in the Fermilab apparatus with an alpha source



1% statistical unc.

consistent with vacuum bkg only 3% of signal



# Three Measurements with

# different systematics

#### performed in Nitrogen to increase the statistics

#### Ratio Nitrogen/Air (337nm)

Measured at AWA Argonne

r = 7.35±0.08

Confirmed in Fermilab setup





*Currently used in Auger:* 5.05 photons/MeV





# Checks of the systematic effects

<ul> <li>dependence on the threshold for s.p.e.</li> </ul>	neg.
- photon counting time window	< 1 %
- independent data analysis	0.7 %.
- Cherenkov yield uncertainty due to RI	neg.
<ul> <li>Cherenkov contribution with port open</li> </ul>	neg.
<ul> <li>fluorescence with port open and closed</li> </ul>	neg.

Pointing laser to different spots neg.
 remounting the laser system < 1%</li>







Laser calibration

# Systematic uncertainties

#### Fluo/Cere ratio

#### - sphere efficiency ~ 0.9 % ~ 5.0 % - laser probe calibration - calibration sphere - PMT quantum efficiency ~ 1.0 % Transmission ~ 0.8 % ~ 1.0 % - Monte Carlo statistics - Monte Carlo statistics ~ 1.0 % ~ 1.0 % - $N_{2}/Air$ ratio - $N_{g}/Air$ ratio ~ 1.0 % - sphere $\lambda$ dependence ~ 1.0 % - *sphere efficiency* ~ 0.9 % - filter transmittance ~ 2.0 % - background subtraction ~ 1.0 % - background subtraction ~ 1.0 % - energy deposit ~ 2.0 % - energy deposit ~ 2.0 % ~ 0.3 % - geometry

**Total** 

3.7 %

Total

5.8%







### **Combined** result

 $(Y_{air})_{Airfly} = 5.61 \pm 0.06_{stat} \pm 0.21_{syst} \text{ photons}_{337}/\text{MeV}$ (dry air, 1013 hPa, 293 K)









# Summary

• Precise measurement of the absolute fluorescence yield of the 337 nm line was performed.

• Two independent calibration methods are giving compatible results.

- Our results are consistent within the uncertainties with other experiments.
- Total uncertainty of 4% achieved

• Together with AIRFLY measurements of the air fluorescence spectrum and its pressure, temperature and humidity dependence, the total uncertainty on the energy scale of UHECR due to FLY will be reduced to ~ 5%.



Backup slides





## Photocathode coverage in Frascati



Moreover – Cerenkov light is polarized, fluorescence is not Integrating sphere eliminates the differences M. Bohacova 13, 9, 11