## **Exotics Searches at LHC**

Cigdem Issever University of Oxford

GK Workshopl Karlsruher Institut für Technologie 30.09-02.10..2013



.AS Run Number = 189288 leading jet Event Number = 2779906 Z(ee)+jet mass = 1858.8 GeV associated electrons Leading electron pT = 485.1 GeV Second electron pT = 335.1 GeV Leading jet pT = 905.8 GeV Associated jet pT = 96.5 GeV

### Acknowledgement

- Hitoshi Murayama, http://arxiv.org/abs/0704.2276v1
- Lykken, <u>http://arxiv.org/pdf/1005.1676.pdf</u>
- CERN 2012 summer school

### **Discussions** with

- Henri Bachacou
- Bryan Lynn
- Christophe Grojean
- Glenn Starkman
- Steven Worm





# Why search for new physics?

# What are Exotics Searches?

# Examples of Searches

## Why search for new physics?

- We are reSEARCHers
- We strive for new understandings



Dark Energy

- Our goal is to increase our KNOWLEDGE
- Inspiring, humbling, exciting,





C. Issever, Univ

## and a LOT of work.....



C. Issever, University of Oxford

## Why look beyond the Standard Model?

### Experimental Evidence

### Non-baryonic dark matter (~23%)

- Inferred from gravitational effects
- Rotational speed of galaxies
- Orbital velocities of galaxies in clusters
- Gravitational lensing

. . . . .

- Dark Energy (~73%)
  - Accelerated Expansion of the Universe
- Neutrinos have mass and mix
- Baryon asymmetry
- Acausual density perturbations







C. Issever, University of Oxford



- Responsible for mass and mixing of quark masses
- Responsible for charged lepton masses
- Generation index: i, j = 1,2,3
- Why 3 families?
- No neutrino masses or mixing included





C. Issever, University of Oxford

vev = vacuum

expectation value

## The Higgs is an EXOTIC particle

- ONLY spin 0 elementary particle
- Couplings are NOT dictated by gauge symmetry
   Hmm....
- Symmetry breaking
  - Underlying reason?
  - Unable to explain dynamical
- Small mass possible if protected by
  - Symmetry
  - Not elementary particle



## Comment to Fine Tuning....

G.



- 4 ways to solve it
- Supersymmetry
  - Sparticles cancel particle contributions
- Extra Dimensions
  - Higgs is a vector in 5D
- Higgs is composite
  - Strongly coupled new physics
- There is no fine tuning problem in SM
  - Not everybody thinks SM has a fine tuning problem <u>http://arxiv.org/pdf/1005ever0417erpity of Oxford</u>



## Higgs sector looks like a provisional structure



Courtesy of C. Grojean & A. Weiler,

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{SM} &= -\frac{1}{4g'^2} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2g^2} \operatorname{Tr}(W_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}) - \frac{1}{2g_s^2} \operatorname{Tr}(G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}) \\ &+ \bar{Q}_i i \mathcal{D} Q_i + \bar{L}_i i \mathcal{D} L_i + \bar{u}_i i \mathcal{D} u_i + \bar{d}_i i \mathcal{D} d_i + \bar{e}_i i \mathcal{D} e_i \\ &+ (Y_u^{ij} \bar{Q}_i u_j \tilde{H} + Y_d^{ij} \bar{Q}_i d_j H + Y_l^{ij} \bar{L}_i e_j H + \text{h.c.}) \\ &+ (D_\mu H)^{\dagger} (D^\mu H) - \lambda (H^{\dagger} H)^2 - m^2 H^{\dagger} H + \frac{\theta}{32\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \operatorname{Tr}(G_{\mu\nu} G_{\rho\sigma}). \end{split}$$
Only term in L<sub>SM</sub> with a dimensionful parameter

### Sets the energy scale for the SM: VEV ~ 246 GeV

## History suggests.....

- Fundamental theory at <u>shorter distances</u> than distance scale of the problem.
  PERIODIC TABLE OF THE ELEMENTS
- ~1900 reached atomic scale
  - 10<sup>-8</sup> cm  $\approx \hbar^2/e^2 m_e$
  - Quantum Mechanics
  - Quantum Electrodynamics



- ~1950 reached strong interaction scale
  - 10<sup>-13</sup> cm  $\approx Me^{-8\pi^2/g_s^2(M)b_0}$

  - Quarks, Gluons



### Today....Very Special Times

- LHC goes beyond EWK scale: TeV<sup>-1</sup> ~ 10<sup>-17</sup> cm
- EWK scale: phase transition is happening
   W,Z,electron...etc. acquire mass
- $v = (\sqrt{2}G_F)^{-1/2} \sim 246 \ GeV \leftarrow \text{Higgs VEV}$

### This is the scale of SM!

Beyond this we will find NEW INSIGHTS!!!!

## Why look beyond the Standard Model???

### Aesthetic/Theoretical Reasons

- Hierarchy Problem:
  - why is  $G_F \sim 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2} << G_N \sim 10^{-38} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$
- Quantum gravitational description of Gravity?
  - Gravity is not included in SM
- Higgs

. . . .

- Experimental Reasons
  - Dark Matter/Energy
  - Neutrino masses
  - Baryon Asymmetry

```
C. Issever, University of Oxford
```

### Models



Murayama Hitosh

### What else is there beside SUSY framework?

### SUSY is NOT a model

"Symmetry principle characterizing a BSM framework with an infinite number of models"....Lykken

### SUSY is only one possible way.....

- Many more ways to solve problems with Standard Model
- What if nature has not chosen SUSY?
- Make sure to cover every feasible corner...
- SUSY mass limits pushed to 1 TeV
  - SUSY becoming more "Exotic" the higher the mass limits get.

### Models try to answer questions

- Hierarchy Problem
  - EWK force ~ 10<sup>32</sup> X Gravity?
  - $\rightarrow$  Extra dimension models
- Fine Tuning Problem → SUSY
  - $\rightarrow$  Composite Higgs
  - $\rightarrow$  Extra dimension models
- What is Dark Matter?
  - $\rightarrow$  SUSY
  - $\rightarrow$  Extra dimensions....

- Family structure in SM?
- Running coupling constants?
   → GUT
- Have elementary particles a sub-structure?

# Not all questions may be sensible..

### What Characterizes Exotics Searches?

- No specific Model to guide us. No unified parameter phase
- No unified parameter phase space to map results





#### C. Issever, University of Oxford

## The Role of Models in "most" Exotics Searches



Toscanelli's model of the geography of the Atlantic Ocean, which directly influenced Columbus's plans

## The Role of Models in "most" Exotics Searches



C. Issever, University of Oxford

### The Role of Models in "most" Exotics Searches

- Models used to quantify our reach.
  - How far did we get?
  - How do we compare to previous searches?
- We use so called Bench Mark Models
   Used before by other experiments
- Simplified Models or generic resonances

Lykken, http://arxiv.org/pdf/1005.1676.pdf

### s-channel production





Lykken, http://arxiv.org/pdf/1005.1676.pdf

### Pair production



Lykken, http://arxiv.org/pdf/1005.1676.pdf

### BSMstrahlung



Pseudo-scalar

C. Issever, University of Oxford

## Models-Signature Mapping and vice versa.



H. Bachacou

## Models-Signature Mapping and vice versa.

- Many extensions of the SM have been developed over the past decades;
- Supersymmetry<sup>\*</sup>
- Extra-Dimensions
- Technicolor(s)
- Little Higgs
- No Higgs
- GUT
- Hidden Valley,
- Leptoquarks
- Compositeness
- 4<sup>th</sup> generation (t', b')
- LRSM, heavy neutrino
- What else?

(for illustration only)

- 1 jet + MET jets + MET 1 lepton + MET Same-sign di-lepton Dilepton resonance Diphoton resonance Diphoton + MET Multileptons Lepton-jet resonance Lepton-photon resonance Gamma-jet resonance Diboson resonance Z+MET W/Z+Gamma resonance Top-antitop resonance Slow-moving particles Long-lived particles Top-antitop production Lepton-Jets Microscopic blackholes
- Dijet resonance
  - What else?

A complex 2D problem

- Experimentally, a signature standpoint makes a lot of sense:
  - → Practical
  - → Less modeldependent
  - → Important to cover every possible signature

H. Bachacou

C. Issever, University of Oxford

### What Characterizes Exotics Searches?

- Exotics Search Strategy
  - Cover wide range of final states
  - Largely Model independent
    - Look for resonances
    - Look for any disagreement from expectations
  - Cover interesting new BSM models





# How do you search for the UNKNOWN?



C. Issever, University of Oxford

# You look everywhere for any deviation...



### **Basic Principles of Exotics Searches**

- Identify your discriminant!
- Most important: Robust background estimation!

### Biases ?

- Blind analysis ← not appropriate at LHC
- Control regions
- Trade-off between Signal and Background
  - Do NOT optimize towards a specific model
  - Selection cuts defined by triggers and background reduction.

### **Basic Principles of a Search**

- You have a background estimate...what now?
- Check if data agrees with this expectation.
- If it does not agree...
  - Is the significance increasing with more data?
  - Look at time dependences...
  - Cross checks....
  - Discovery if significance is greater than 5 sigma.

### If it does agree....

- How far did we explore the new physics phase?
- Use models to quantify the search reach.
- Useable for others (publish acceptance and efficiencies)

### **Comment to Search Result Selection in this Lecture**

### Show some typical search examples

### "What is the impact of the newly discovered boson on Exotics searches at the LHC?"

### 8 TeV Results
## **Exotics Searches**



## **Dilepton Resonance Search**



## **Dilepton Resonance Search**

- Models:
  - Little Higgs  $\rightarrow$  heavy gauge boson(s) (Z'/W')
  - •GUT-inspired theories  $\rightarrow$  heavy gauge boson(s) (Z'/W')
    - Strong and EWK force merged into one interaction
    - Described by higher symmetry group
      - Popular choices:
        - Left right symmetric models (SO(10))
        - E<sub>6</sub> symmetry models
  - Sequential Standard Model (SSM)
    - Z' carbon copy of Z<sup>0</sup> just heavier
    - Z' decays into any SM lepton-antilepton pair
    - decay into gauge bosons is suppressed by hand
    - not gauge invariant, not very realistic but
    - reference model
  - Randall-Sundrum ED  $\rightarrow$  Kaluza-Klein graviton
  - $\begin{array}{l} \blacksquare Technicolor \rightarrow narrow \ technihadrons \\ C. \ lssever, \ University \ of \ Oxford \end{array}$

ATLAS-CONF-2013-017 PAS EXO-12-061

## CMS Highest Dimuon Invariant Mass Event; 8 TeV



## **Proton-Proton Collisions**



## Luminosity

## Single most important quantity

Drives ability to observe new rare processes



exi

- revolving frequency f = 11245.5/s
- n<sub>bunch</sub> = 2808
- $N_p = 1.15 \times 10^{11}$  Protons/Bunch
- Area of beams:  $4\pi\sigma_x\sigma_v$ ~40 µm

Rate of physics processes per unit time  $\sim L$ 

$$N_{Obs} = \int Ldt * \varepsilon * \sigma_{process}$$
Cross section; given by  
nature; predicted by theory  
Efficiency; optimized by  
experimentalists
$$Maximize N_{obs} \rightarrow max \varepsilon and L$$

## Our data sample for 2012



Delivered Integrated L: 23.3 fb<sup>-1</sup> Recorded Integrated L: 21.7 fb<sup>-1</sup>  $1b = 10^{-24} cm^2$  $1fb = 10^{-39} cm^2$ 

## Rates of physics processes @ LHC



Interesting physics swamped by background

- Cross section for new physics:
  - ~10<sup>12</sup> times lower !!
- Need to filter → TRIGGER SYSTEMS
- Carefully decide what to record
- You do not have another chance

## Compare this to rates of physics processes



## **Dilepton Resonance Search: Trigger Strategy**

## ATLAS

### ee channel

- Diphoton trigger
- $E_T > 35$  GeV and  $E_T > 25$  GeV
- µµ channel
- Single muon triggers
- E<sub>T</sub> > 24 GeV or E<sub>T</sub> > 36 GeV



## CMS

### ee channel

- Dielectron trigger
- Both clusters w  $E_T > 33$  GeV

µµ channel

- single muon trigger
- E<sub>T</sub> > 40 GeV



## Compare this to rates of physics processes



## CMS Di-Electron Event Zoomed into Inner Detector



## **Di-Electron Channel**



ATLAS Barrel Liquid Argon Calorimeter

Accordion Sampling Layers

## **Selection for Di-Electron Channel**



Problem: jets fake electrons Use isolation to reduce fakes

## Electron Isolation I<sub>conesize</sub>



	ATLAS	CMS			
e1	I <sup>calo</sup> <sub>0.2</sub> <0.7%⋅E <sub>T</sub> + 5 GeV	I <sup>tracker</sup> o 2<5 GeV	I <sup>Calo</sup> , ~3%·E <sub>T</sub>		
e2	I <sup>calo</sup> <sub>0.2</sub> <2.2%·E <sub>T</sub> + 6 GeV	0.3	0.3 070 -1		

## Acceptance x Efficiency after all Selections

# ATLAS CMS Axε(m = 2 TeV) = **73%** Axε(m = 2.5 TeV) = **67%**



## **Di-Muon Channel**



## Dilepton Resonance Search:: µµ selections

## ATLAS

- Single muon triggers
- p<sub>T</sub> > 25 GeV
- **■** |η|<2.4
- Suppress cosmic rays
  - |d<sub>0</sub>| < 0.2 mm
  - |z<sub>0</sub>-z(vertex)|<1 mm</p>
- Suppress jets faking µ's
  - $\sum p_{T}(\Delta R < 0.3) < 5\% \cdot p_{T}$
- Require opposite charge

### CMS

- Single muon trigger
- p<sub>T</sub> > 45 GeV
- **|**η|<2.4
- Suppress cosmic rays
   |d<sub>0</sub>| < 0.2 mm</li>
   |z<sub>0</sub>-z(vertex)|<24 cm</li>
- Suppress jets faking µ's
  - $\sum p_{T}(\Delta R < 0.3) < 10\% \cdot p_{T}$
  - |z<sub>0</sub>-z(vertex)|< 0.2mm</p>
- Require opposite charge

## Very different

 $Ax\epsilon(m = 2 \text{ TeV}) = 46\%$   $Ax\epsilon(m = 2.5 \text{ TeV}) = 80\%$ 

## Dilepton Resonance Search: Backgrounds ee



## Dilepton Resonance Search: Backgrounds ee



## Dilepton Resonance Search: Backgrounds µµ



(f) Dijets (without the external photon line), $\gamma$ +jets

## Dilepton Resonance Search: Backgrounds µµ



## Heavy Resonances Search: 8 TeV Dileptons Backgrounds

- SM Drell-Yan: γ\*/Z-> I<sup>+</sup>I<sup>-</sup>
  - shape taken from Monte Carlo
  - normalisation taken from Z peak in data
- t-tbar:
  - where tt goes to e+e-, mu+mu-
  - est. from MC, cross-checked in data
  - also includes Z->TT, WW, WZ
- Jet Background:
  - di-jet, W+jet events where the jets are misidentified as electrons/muons
- Cosmic Ray Background:
  - muons from cosmic rays
  - estimated <0.1 event after vertex and angular difference requirements



## **Dilepton Search: The Discriminant**

### ATLAS-CONF-2013-017 PAS EXO-12-061



Invariant mass reach of 1 - 2 TeV

### **Dilepton Resonance Search: Systematic Uncertainties**

### ATLAS-CONF-2013-017

Source	Dielectrons		Dimuons	
	Signal	Background	Signal	Background
Normalization	5%	NA	5%	NA
PDF variation	NA	15%	NA	15%
PDF choice	NA	17%	NA	17%
Scale	NA	-	NA	-
$\alpha_s$	NA	4%	NA	4%
Electroweak corrections	NA	3%	NA	3%
Photon-induced corrections	NA	4%	NA	4%
Efficiency	-	-	6%	6%
Resolution	-	-	-	3% (7%)
W + jet and multi-jet background	NA	9%	NA	-
Diboson and ttbar extrapolation	NA	5%	NA	4%
Total	5%	26%	8%	25% (26%)

## Heavy Resonances Search: 8 TeV Dileptons

$m_{ee}$ [GeV]	110 - 200	200 - 400	400 - 800	800 - 1200	1200 - 3000	3000 - 4500
$Z/\gamma^*$	$119000 \pm 8000$	$13700 \pm 900$	$1290 \pm 80$	$68 \pm 4$	$9.8 \pm 1.1$	$0.008 \pm 0.005$
$t\overline{t}$	$7000 \pm 800$	$2400 \pm 400$	$160 \pm 60$	$2.5 \pm 0.6$	$0.11 \pm 0.04$	< 0.001
Diboson	$1830 \pm 210$	$660 \pm 160$	$93 \pm 33$	$4.8 \pm 0.8$	$0.79 \pm 0.26$	$0.005 \pm 0.004$
Dijet, $W$ + jet	$3900 \pm 800$	$1260 \pm 310$	$230 \pm 110$	$8.6 \pm 2.4$	$0.9 \pm 0.6$	$0.004 \pm 0.006$
Total	$131000 \pm 8000$	$18000 \pm 1100$	$1780 \pm 150$	$84 \pm 5$	$11.6 \pm 1.3$	$0.017 \pm 0.009$
Data	133131	18570	1827	98	10	0

#### ATLAS-CONF-2013-017

$m_{\mu\mu}$ [GeV]	110 - 200	200 - 400	400 - 800	800 - 1200	1200 - 3000	3000 - 4500
$Z/\gamma^*$	$111000 \pm 8000$	$11000 \pm 1000$	$1000 \pm 100$	$49 \pm 5$	$7.3 \pm 1.3$	$0.033 \pm 0.029$
$t\overline{t}$	$5900 \pm 900$	$1900 \pm 400$	$140 \pm 60$	$2.7 \pm 0.7$	$0.16 \pm 0.08$	< 0.001
Diboson	$1520 \pm 190$	$520 \pm 140$	$62 \pm 26$	$2.8 \pm 1.0$	$0.38 \pm 0.28$	$0.002 \pm 0.003$
Total	$118000 \pm 8000$	$13300 \pm 1100$	$1160 \pm 120$	$55 \pm 5$	$7.8 \pm 1.3$	$0.035 \pm 0.029$
Data	118701	13349	1109	48	8	0

## What do you do now?

- Observed numbers consistent with background???
- Many ways to do it
- One way e.g.:

$$P(n \ge n_{obs}) = 1 - f(n; s = 0; b) = 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{n_{obs}-1} \frac{b^n}{n!} e^{-b}$$

- Probability, assuming s = 0, to observe as many events or more for a given expected background amount, b.
- For 800 1200 GeV bin in μμ

## Heavy Resonances Search: 8 TeV Dileptons

### ATLAS-CONF-2013-017

$m_{ee}$ [GeV]	110 - 200	200 - 400	400 - 800	800 - 1200	1200 - 3000	3000 - 4500
$Z/\gamma^*$	$119000 \pm 8000$	$13700 \pm 900$	$1290 \pm 80$	$68 \pm 4$	$9.8 \pm 1.1$	$0.008 \pm 0.005$
$t\overline{t}$	$7000 \pm 800$	$2400 \pm 400$	$160 \pm 60$	$2.5 \pm 0.6$	$0.11 \pm 0.04$	< 0.001
Diboson	$1830 \pm 210$	$660 \pm 160$	$93 \pm 33$	$4.8 \pm 0.8$	$0.79 \pm 0.26$	$0.005 \pm 0.004$
Dijet, $W$ + jet	$3900 \pm 800$	$1260 \pm 310$	$230 \pm 110$	$8.6 \pm 2.4$	$0.9 \pm 0.6$	$0.004 \pm 0.006$
Total	$131000 \pm 8000$	$18000 \pm 1100$	$1780 \pm 150$	$84 \pm 5$	$11.6 \pm 1.3$	$0.017 \pm 0.009$
Data	133131	18570	1827	98	10	0

Analysis: P(ee) = 18%

Analysis:  $P(\mu\mu) = 98\%$ 

$m_{\mu\mu}$ [GeV]	110 - 200	200 - 400	400 - 800	800 - 1200	1200 - 3000	3000 - 4500
$Z/\gamma^*$	$111000 \pm 8000$	$11000 \pm 1000$	$1000 \pm 100$	$49 \pm 5$	$7.3 \pm 1.3$	$0.033 \pm 0.029$
tt	$5900 \pm 900$	$1900 \pm 400$	$140 \pm 60$	$2.7 \pm 0.7$	$0.16 \pm 0.08$	< 0.001
Diboson	$1520 \pm 190$	$520 \pm 140$	$62 \pm 26$	$2.8 \pm 1.0$	$0.38 \pm 0.28$	$0.002 \pm 0.003$
Total	$118000 \pm 8000$	$13300 \pm 1100$	$1160 \pm 120$	$55 \pm 5$	$7.8 \pm 1.3$	$0.035 \pm 0.029$
Data	118701	13349	1109	48	8	0

### **No deviation from expectation found.**

## We did not find any deviation.....

- Quantify the sensitivity and reach of our analysis
- Again, many ways to do it....
  - "Religious" wars are being fought about this.....



Back of the envelope demonstration....to get the idea
n<sub>obs</sub> = s + b

- We want an upper limit (bound on s) given we expect b background events and have observed n<sub>obs</sub> events.
- Use Bayesian method with uniform prior density  $\sum_{n=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j$
- $\beta = \sum_{n=0}^{n_{obs}} (s^{up})^n e^{-s^{up}}/n!$  solve this numerical

n=s<sup>up</sup>+b

- We ignore error on b....
- We ignore systematic errors



## • $\beta = \sum_{n=0}^{n_{obs}} (s^{up})^n e^{-s^{up}} / n!$ solve this numerical

- Back to our example
  - 800 GeV < m<sub>µµ</sub> < 1200 GeV</p>
  - We have observed n<sub>obs</sub> = 48 events
  - We expect b=55 background events
  - Our Acceptance x Efficiency ~ 50%
  - We have analysed L = 20 fb-1 of data



C. Issever, University of Oxford

## Let us compare with the published limit...



## Let us compare with the published limit...



## Let us compare with the published limit...



## Limits for both channels combined

### ATLAS

CMS


#### Let us discuss a bit the difference btw ATLAS/CMS

ATLAS

CMS



### Signal Shapes and Parton Luminosities





# ATLAS CMS Differences in the Limit Setting

#### ATLAS

- Uses signal templates for limits
- Loss of sensitivity at high masses
- Parton luminosities
- Upper cross section limits model specific



#### CMS

- Uses narrow resonance
  - For cross section upper limit
  - Cross section upper limits less model dependent
  - Give outside world description of what was done
- Take signal shapes within +-40% of the mass peak into account to compute theory curves
- Not sensitive to parton luminosities
- generic resonance search

KK Graviton narrow resonance Obs limit does not go up

# Ditaus (fully hadronic)

new

Lepton universality not necessary for these new gauge bosons  $\rightarrow \text{Essential to search in ALL decay modes} \quad 19.5 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ 



## **Ditau 95% Credibility Limits**

ATLAS-CONF-2013-066



new

# W' → Iv in 8 TeV Data

#### Many models possible

- right-handed W' bosons with standard-model couplings
- Ieft-handed W' bosons including interference
- Kaluza-Klein W'<sub>KK</sub>-states in split-UED
- Excited chiral boson (W\*)
- Event Selection and Backgrounds
  - back-to-back isolated lepton and E<sup>miss</sup>
  - Plot transverse mass of lv system
  - backgrounds from W, QCD, tt+single t, DY, VV from data



**PAS EXO12060** 

C. Issever, Universit  $M_{\rm T} = \sqrt{2 \cdot p_{\rm T}^{\ell} \cdot E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} \cdot (1 - \cos \Delta \phi_{\ell,\nu})}$  78

### $W' \rightarrow Iv$ in 8 TeV Data



М(W'ssм) 95% CL	Observed
ATLAS e+µ, 2011,4.7fb <sup>-1</sup>	> 2.55 TeV
CMS e+µ, 2012, 20fb <sup>-1</sup>	> 3.35 TeV

M(W'<sub>SSM</sub>) > 3.35 TeV 95% CL

[ATLAS hep-ex 1209.4446] CMS PAS EXO-12-060

# Dijet Event Display with m<sub>inv</sub> = 4.69 TeV



- Strong gravity, excited quarks
- Selections
  - Two anti-kt 0.6 jets
  - p<sup>j</sup><sub>T</sub>>150 GeV && m<sub>jj</sub>>1 TeV
  - |y|<2.8 && dijet CM rapidity |y\*| < 0.6, y\*=±0.5\*(y1-y2)</p>
- Look for resonance above phenomenological fit of data

$$f(x) = p_1 (1 - x)^{p_2} x^{p_3 + p_4 \ln x}$$
$$x = m_{ii} / \sqrt{s}$$

#### ATLAS-CONF-2012-148

C. Issever, University of Oxford

# Probing quark structure ~ 5 TeV



Good agreement btw data and fit.

- Global  $\chi^2$ /NDF=15.5/18 = 0.86 → p-value = 0.61
- good agreement btw data and fit
- Bump Hunter



**ATLAS-CONF-2012-148** 



#### CMS-PAS-EXO-12-059

- Trigger:
  - L1: single jet trigger
  - HLT:
    - H<sub>T</sub>>650 GeV && m<sub>ii</sub>>750 GeV
- Jets with R=0.5
- p<sub>T</sub> > 30 GeV, |η| < 2.5</p>
- combines 0.5 jets into "wide jets" with R = 1.1
- two wide jets satisfy
  - |η<sub>jj</sub>| < 1.3</p>
  - <mark>-</mark> |η| < 2.5
  - M<sub>jj</sub>>890 GeV







# 20.3 fb<sup>-1</sup> Dijet resonance + W/Z→Iv/II

#### ATLAS-CONF-2013-074

- Very interesting final state
  - Sensitive to VH
  - Extradimension
  - Technicolor, little Higgs

#### **Selections**

 $p_{T}^{IV/II} > 50 \text{ GeV}$ 

≥2 jets with p<sub>T</sub>> 30 GeV

 $|\Delta \eta_{ii}| < 1.75, |\Delta \phi_{ii}| > 1.6$ 

Systematic Uncertainties

#### Backgrounds

- Estimated with MC
  - W/Z+jets dominant
  - ttbar
  - single-top
  - Diboson
- Multijet estimate w data

Source	$\Delta\sigma/\sigma\%$ for Wjj	$\Delta\sigma/\sigma\%$ for Zjj
W/Z+jets normalization	±5	±16
W/Z jets shape variation	$\pm 2$	$\pm 4$
Multijet shape and normalization	$\pm 5$	N/A
Top normalization	$\pm 4$	±7
Top Modeling	$\pm 3$	$\pm 4$
Jet energy scale (all samples)	$\pm 10$	±11
Jet energy resolution (all samples)	$\pm 2$	$\pm 3$
Lepton reconstruction (all samples)	$\pm 1$	$\pm 3$
PDF (signal)	±5	$\pm 6$
$\frac{PDF(top)}{T}$ m( $\pi$ ) = 180 G	$ev = \frac{\pm 6}{2}$	±3

C. Issever, University of Oxford

nev

# m<sub>ii</sub> distributions for Z/W+2 jets



#### 95% CL upper $\sigma$ XBR on LSTC Technipion + Z/W production

#### LSTC = Low Scale TechniColor





$$\begin{split} &\mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}/\mathrm{d}(\cos\hat{\theta}) \propto \sin^{-4}(\hat{\theta}/2) \quad \text{t-channel Spin-1 exchange} \\ &\chi = \frac{1+|\cos\hat{\theta}|}{1-|\cos\hat{\theta}|} \sim \frac{1}{1-|\cos\hat{\theta}|} \propto \frac{\hat{s}}{\hat{t}} \\ &\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}}{\mathrm{d}\chi} \propto \frac{\alpha_s^2}{\hat{s}} \quad (\hat{s} \text{ fixed}) \quad \hat{s} = m_{jj} \quad \text{Constant in } \chi \text{ for fixed } m_{jj} \end{split}$$

C. Issever, University of Oxford





C. Issever, University of Oxford

#### arXiv:1210.1718



#### arXiv:1210.1718

$$F_{\chi}(m_{jj}) \equiv \frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\mathrm{central}}/\mathrm{d}m_{jj}}{\mathrm{d}N_{\mathrm{total}}/\mathrm{d}m_{jj}},$$





#### Models and Limits:

 Quark contact interaction (quark compositeness)

Λ>7.6 TeV (7.7 TeV)

Quantum Black holes M<sub>D</sub>>4.1 TeV (4.2 TeV) n=6

# New Physics Searches with high-pt top quarks



- Top quark properties
  - Highly coupled to EWK symmetry breaking
  - LHC is a top factory
- Huge mass of top
  - Bizarre
  - New physics
- Heavy new particles
  - Couple strongly to top
  - Produce boosted tops
- New techniques for top ID

# **Boosted Regime**



#### Boosted Top Event Candidate with m<sub>ttbar</sub>=2.5 TeV



# Top Reconstruction @ LHC: 3 Regimes



#### Jet Substructure: jet mass

Use jet substructure to "tag" boosted tops



## Jet Substructure: Splitting Scales

min  $p_T x dR =$ 

M<sub>iet</sub> / 2

d<sub>12</sub> [GeV



### **Fixed Cone Size Lepton Isolation**



### **Fixed Cone Size Isolation**



### Variable Isolation Cone



# **Efficiency Comparisons**



(b) 1.0 TeV Z'

# **Efficiency Comparisons**



(d) 2.0 TeV Z'

## Heavy Resonances Search: ttbar

#### ATLAS-CONF-2013-052

- Lepton+jets channel
- Models: e.g. bulk-RS (esp. KK gluons) and Leptophobic Z'
  - Large Branching Ratio to top-antitop
- Combining resolved and boosted reconstructions
- Taking full advantage of boosted techniques





# Heavy Resonances Search: Object Selection

#### Jets

- Small jets: pT > 25 GeV && |η|<2.5</p>
- Large jets: pT > 300 GeV && |η| < 2.0</p>
- Require that at least one of the small jets is b-tagged

# Electrons

- pT > 25 GeV && |η|<1.37, 1.52<|η|<2.47</p>
- Mini Isolation: I<sub>mini</sub> < 0.05 E<sub>T</sub>
- z-impact parameter within 2mm of PV

#### Muons

- pT > 25 GeV && |η|<2.5</p>
- I<sub>mini</sub> < 0.05 pT</p>
- z-impact parameter within 2mm of PV

### **Selections Continued**

- Optimized for high-pt tops && reduce ttbar bkg
- High-pt single electron or muon trigger
- >1 primary vertex with  $\ge$  5 tracks of  $p_T$  > 0.4 GeV
- Electron channel

•  $ME_T > 30 \text{ GeV \& } m_T = \sqrt{2p_T M E_T (1 - cos \Delta \phi)} > 30 \text{ GeV}$ 

Muon channel

ME<sub>T</sub> > 20 GeV && ME<sub>T</sub>+m<sub>T</sub> > 60 GeV
#### **Resolved Selection**

 $\geq$  4 small jets, j, with p<sub>T</sub>> 25 GeV, |η|<2.5



#### **Merged Selection**

3 small jets, j, with  $p_T$ > 25 GeV,  $|\eta|$ <2.5



#### **Boosted Selection**



#### **Geometrical Acceptance + Selection Efficiencies**



112

#### **Reconstructed top mass distributions**



# Discriminant distribution m<sub>ttbar</sub>

 $m_{t\bar{t}}$  resolved + boosted in e+jets and  $\mu$ +jets



#### Heavy Resonances Search: Ttbar



#### Heavy Resonance Search: ttbar hadronic channel



# Heavy Quarks



C. Issever, University of Oxford

# **Fine-Tuning Problem in Electromagnetism**



 $r_e \lesssim 10^{-17} \ {
m cm} \implies \Delta {
m E} \gtrsim 10 \ {
m GeV}$ 

0.511 = -9999.489 + 10000.000 MeV

#### Fine tuning!

Murayama hep-ph/9410285

C. Issever, University of Oxford

# **Fine-Tuning Problem in Electromagnetism**

- Picture not complete:
  - Positron cancels 1/r<sub>e</sub> term
  - New symmetry:
    - particle/anti-particle

$$(m_e c^2)_{\text{observed}} = (m_e c^2)_{\text{bare}} \left[ 1 + \frac{3\alpha}{4\pi} \log \frac{\hbar}{m_e c r_e} \right]$$

Correction to bare mass becomes small

# Supersymmetry

#### Same problem with Higgs



125 GeV = (huge number)-(huge number) even more fine tuned!



# **Composite Higgs**

But there is another way....look at QCD



Pion mass is not divergent.

Why?

#### It is a composite particle!

#### Assume Higgs is a composite particle

- Changes couplings
- Introduces new partners to top quarks
- Vector-like quarks...
  - (both chiralities same under SU(2)xU(1)
- Solves fine-tuning problem....

C. Issever, University of Oxford



- 4th generation would significantly enhance Higgs production cross section
  - (almost) excluded by observed Higgs crosssection
  - t't'  $\rightarrow$  WbWb (100%): just like t-tbar but heavier
  - b'b'  $\rightarrow$  WtWt (100%): just like ttbar but messier
- Beyond 4th generation: Vector-Like Quarks in Composite Higgs theories
  - More diverse phenomenology
  - T': Decays to Wb, Zt, Ht
  - B': Decays to Wt, Zb, Hb
- Loose constraints on CKM4  $\rightarrow$  decays to light quarks possible!





122



- 4th generation would significantly enhance Higgs production cross section
  - (almost) excluded by observed Higgs crosssection
  - t't'  $\rightarrow$  WbWb (100%): just like t-tbar but heavier
  - b'b'  $\rightarrow$  WtWt (100%): just like ttbar but messier
- Beyond 4th generation: **Vector-Like Quarks** in Composite Higgs theories
  - More diverse phenomenology
  - T': Decays to Wb, Zt, Ht
  - B': Decays to Wt, Zb, Hb
- Loose constraints on CKM4 → decays to light quarks possible!







# $T \longrightarrow H t$

#### ATLAS-CONF-2013-018

C. Issever, University of Oxford



Complex-conjugate decay modes are implicit



Complex-conjugate decay modes are implicit



Complex-conjugate decay modes are implicit

# Discriminant Variable H<sub>T</sub>

$$H_{T} = \sum_{Scalar Sum} P_{T,lepton} + E_{T,miss} + P_{T,jets}$$

#### Discriminant Variable H<sub>T</sub>



#### Discriminant Variable H<sub>T</sub>



## **Exlusion Limits for Vector Like T Quark**



### **Exlusion Limits for Vector-Like T Quark**



## **Exlusion Limits for Vector Like B Quark**



#### Model independent approach

<u>1210.4538</u>

Limit presented in terms of fiducial cross-section limit



- σ<sup>fid</sup> is (almost) model-independent
- Can turn  $\sigma^{fid}$  into  $\sigma^{total}$  with generator-level information only
- Caveat: not exactly model-independent  $\rightarrow$  must be conservative

	Electron requirement	Muon requirement	
Leading lepton $p_{\rm T}$	$p_{\rm T} > 25 {\rm ~GeV}$	$p_{\rm T} > 20 {\rm ~GeV}$	
Sub-leading lepton $p_{\rm T}$	$p_{\rm T} > 20 {\rm ~GeV}$	$p_{\rm T} > 20 {\rm ~GeV}$	
Lepton $\eta$	$ \eta  < 1.37$ or $1.52 <  \eta  < 2.47$	$ \eta  < 2.5$	
Isolation	$m^{\text{cone}0.3}/mm < 0.1$	$p_{\rm T}^{\rm cone0.4}/p_{\rm T} < 0.06$ and	
Isolation	$p_{\rm T}$ / $p_{\rm T}$ < 0.1	$p_{\rm T}^{\rm cone0.4} < 4~{\rm GeV} + 0.02 \times p_{\rm T}$	136
	Leading lepton $p_{\rm T}$ Sub-leading lepton $p_{\rm T}$ Lepton $\eta$ Isolation	Electron requirementLeading lepton $p_T$ $p_T > 25 \text{ GeV}$ Sub-leading lepton $p_T$ $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$ Lepton $\eta$ $ \eta  < 1.37 \text{ or } 1.52 <  \eta  < 2.47$ Isolation $p_T^{\text{cone0.3}}/p_T < 0.1$	Electron requirementMuon requirementLeading lepton $p_{\rm T}$ $p_{\rm T} > 25 \text{ GeV}$ $p_{\rm T} > 20 \text{ GeV}$ Sub-leading lepton $p_{\rm T}$ $p_{\rm T} > 20 \text{ GeV}$ $p_{\rm T} > 20 \text{ GeV}$ Lepton $\eta$ $ \eta  < 1.37 \text{ or } 1.52 <  \eta  < 2.47$ $ \eta  < 2.5$ Isolation $p_{\rm T}^{\rm cone0.3}/p_{\rm T} < 0.1$ $p_{\rm T}^{\rm cone0.4}/p_{\rm T} < 0.06 \text{ and}$

<u>1210.4538</u>



#### <u>1210.4538</u>

95% upper limits			Mass	е	е	e	μ	μ	ιμ	
1.7 fb and 64 fb				exp	obs	exp	obs	exp	obs	
				Mass range	expected $e^{\pm}$	$e^{\pm}$	5% C.L. up expected $e^{\pm}$	per limit [f] observed $\mu^{\pm}$	$\begin{bmatrix} expected \\ \mu^{\pm} \end{bmatrix}$	observed $\mu^{\pm}$
				$m>15~{\rm GeV}$	$46^{+15}_{-12}$	42	$56^{+23}_{-15}$	64	$24.0^{+8.9}_{-6.0}$	29.8
Fiducial cross section upper limits			$m>100~{\rm GeV}$	$24.1^{+8.9}_{-6.2}$	23.4	$23.0^{+9.1}_{-6.7}$	31.2	$12.2^{+4.5}_{-3.0}$	15.0	
		$m > 200 { m ~GeV}$	$8.8^{+3.4}_{-2.1}$	7.5	$8.4^{+3.4}_{-1.7}$	9.8	$4.3^{+1.8}_{-1.1}$	6.7		
			$m > 300 { m ~GeV}$	$4.5^{+1.8}_{-1.3}$	3.9	$4.1^{+1.8}_{-0.9}$	4.6	$2.4^{+0.9}_{-0.7}$	2.6	
				$m > 400 { m ~GeV}$	$2.9^{+1.1}_{-0.8}$	2.4	$3.0^{+1.0}_{-0.8}$	3.1	$1.7^{+0.6}_{-0.5}$	1.7
-				$e^+e^+$		$e^+\mu^+$		$\mu^+\mu^+$		
	$e^-e^-$			$m > 15 { m ~GeV}$	$29.1^{+10.2}_{-8.6}$	22.8	$34.9^{+12.2}_{-8.6}$	34.1	$15.0^{+6.1}_{-3.3}$	15.2
	10.0			m > 100  GeV	$16.1^{+5.9}_{-4.3}$	12.0	$15.4^{+5.9}_{-4.1}$	18.0	$8.4^{+3.2}_{-2.4}$	7.9
$m > 15 { m GeV}$	$23.2^{+8.6}_{-5.8}$	25.7		m > 200  GeV	$7.0^{+2.9}_{-2.2}$	6.1	$6.6^{+3.5}_{-1.8}$	8.8	$3.5^{+1.6}_{-0.7}$	4.3
> 100 CL-V	$m > 100 \text{ GeV}$ $12.0^{+5.3}_{-2.8}$ 18.7	10 7		m > 300  GeV	3.7 - 1.0 3.2 + 1.1	2.9	$3.2_{-0.9}$ $2.4^{+0.9}$	0.2 0.5	$2.0_{-0.5}$ 1 5 <sup>+0.6</sup>	1.8
m > 100  GeV		18.7		<i>m &gt;</i> 400 Gev	2.0-0.6	1.1	2.4-0.6	2.0	1.0_0.3	1.0
$m > 200 \text{ GeV}$ $4.9^{+1.9}_{-1.2}$ $4.0$	4.0		m > 15  GeV	93 9+8.6	е 25.7	26 2+10.6	μ 34.4	$\mu$ 19.1+4.5	μ 18.5	
	4.0		m > 10  GeV m > 100  GeV	120.2-5.8 $120^{+5.3}$	18.7	20.2 - 7.6 11 5 <sup>+4.2</sup>	16.0	$6.0^{+2.3}$	10.0	
$m > 300 \text{ GeV}$ $2.9^{+1.0}_{-0.6}$ $2.7$	97		m > 100  GeV m > 200  GeV	$49^{+1.9}$	4.0	$46^{+2.1}$	4.5	$2.7^{+1.1}_{-1.9}$	4 4	
	2.1		m > 200  GeV m > 300  GeV	$2.9^{+1.0}$	2.7	$2.7^{+1.1}_{-1.2}$	3.5	$1.5^{+0.8}_{-0.7}$	1.7	
$m > 400 { m ~GeV}$	$1.8^{+0.8}_{-0.4}$	2.3		m > 400  GeV	$1.8^{+0.8}_{-0.4}$	2.3	$2.3^{+0.8}_{-0.5}$	2.5	$1.2^{+0.4}_{-0.0}$	1.2
		U					-			

#### **Possible Models**

- Ieft-right symmetric models
- Higgs triplet models
- Iittle Higgs model
- fourth-family quarks
- supersymmetry
- universal extra dimensions

#### Acceptances: 43% - 65 %

#### Inclusive Same-Sign Dilepton Search: H++/-- Limits

- Models explaining non-zero neutrino masses predict H<sup>++/--</sup>
  - e.g. minimal type II seesaw model
    - additional scalar field

■ triplet (under SU(2)<sub>L</sub> with Y=2): H<sup>++/--</sup>, H<sup>+/-</sup>, H<sup>0</sup>



pair production

associate production

Signature: same-sign leptons

C. Issever, University of Oxford

arXiv:1210.5070



# **Doubly Charged Higgs Limits**

#### arXiv:1210.5070

#### Used e.g. limits on doubly charged Higgs



C. Issever, University of Oxford

# **Doubly Charged Higgs Limits**

Example of more optimized search

arXiv:1207.2666

#### Includes also τ-channel and associate production.



Combined ττ: M(H<sup>++/--</sup>) > 198 GeV

#### General 3 Charged Lepton (e/μ/τ) Search

ATLAS-CONF-2013-070

- complements previous searches model independent
- 4 inclusive signal regions

20.3 fb<sup>-1</sup>

Flavor Chan.	Z Chan.	Expected			Observed
$\geq 3e/\mu$	off-Z	$260 \pm$	$10 \pm$	40	280
$2e/\mu + \ge 1\tau_{had}$	off-Z	$1200 \pm$	$10 \pm$	290	1193
$\geq 3e/\mu$	on-Z	$3100 \pm$	$40 \pm$	500	3199
$2e/\mu + \ge 1\tau_{had}$	on-Z	$17000 \pm$	$40 \pm$	4000	14733

#### 100 exclusive signal regions

- H<sub>T</sub><sup>leptons</sup>, H<sub>T</sub>jets
- Min p<sub>T</sub><sup>I</sup>
- $m_{eff} = |H_t^{jets}| + |E_t^{miss}| + |p_T|$
- for on-Z: m<sub>T</sub><sup>W</sup>
- number of b-jets

#### **Selections**

2 isolated electrons or muons, p<sub>T1</sub> > 26 GeV, p<sub>T2</sub>>15 GeV

 $3^{rd}$  lepton: e or  $\mu$  or  $\tau_{had}$ p<sub>T</sub>(e, $\mu$ )>15 GeV, p<sub>T</sub><sup>vis</sup>( $\tau_{had}$ )>20 GeV

akt4 jets with  $p_T > 30 \text{ GeV}$
#### General 3 Charged Lepton (e/μ/τ) Search ATLAS-CONF-2013-070



## General 3 Charged Lepton (e/μ/τ) Search

#### ATLAS-CONF-2013-070



# Mono Jet Event Display



M. Fedderke



M. Fedderke







# **DM Interpretations of Mono-Object Analyses**

Idea: Effective Theory

Johanna Gramling

Heavy particle mediating interaction btw DM and SM



too heavy to be on-shell → can be integrated out
 interaction treated as contact interaction!

# Like Fermi's Theory of Beta Decay



# Advantage of Effective Theory

arXiv:1008.1783

- Model depends only on a few parameters
  - dark matter mass, **m**<sub>x</sub>
  - Cut-off scale ∧ or M<sub>\*</sub>
  - much easier than e.g. a full SUSY model
- Allows easy comparison to direct or indirect DM detection experiments
- DM
  - Fermion: Dirac or Majorana
  - Scalar: Complex or Real



## **Dark Matter Production at a Collider**



# Dark Matter (DM) Production at LHC $pp \rightarrow \chi \chi + X$

#### Effective interactions coupling DM to SM quarks or gluons

	Name	Initial state	Type	Operator
4491v2	D1	qq	scalar	$\frac{m_q}{M_\star^3} \bar{\chi} \chi \bar{q} q$
1210 <u>.</u> 4	D5	qq	vector	$\frac{1}{M_\star^2} \bar{\chi} \gamma^\mu \chi \bar{q} \gamma_\mu q$
	D8	qq	axial-vector	$\frac{1}{M_\star^2} \bar{\chi} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \chi \bar{q} \gamma_\mu \gamma^5 q$
	D9	qq	tensor	$\frac{1}{M_\star^2} \bar{\chi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \chi \bar{q} \sigma_{\mu\nu} q$
	D11	gg	scalar	$\frac{1}{4M_{\star}^3} \bar{\chi} \chi \alpha_s (G^a_{\mu\nu})^2$

characteristic set

# Dark Matter (DM) Production at LHC $pp \rightarrow \chi \chi + X$

#### Effective interactions coupling DM to SM quarks or gluons

	Name	Initial state	Type	Operator
4491v2	D1	qq	scalar	$\frac{m_q}{M_\star^3} \bar{\chi} \chi \bar{q} q$
1210.4	D5	qq	vector	$\frac{1}{M_\star^2} \bar{\chi} \gamma^\mu \chi \bar{q} \gamma_\mu q$
	I rela	ted to spin-ind	ependent DM	-nucleon interactions
	D9	qq	tensor	$\frac{1}{M_{\star}^2} \bar{\chi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \chi \bar{q} \sigma_{\mu\nu} q$
	D11	gg	scalar	$\frac{1}{4M_{\star}^3}\bar{\chi}\chi\alpha_s(G^a_{\mu\nu})^2$

characteristic set

# Dark Matter (DM) Production at LHC $pp \rightarrow \chi \chi + X$

#### Effective interactions coupling DM to SM quarks or gluons

Name	Initial state	Type	Operator
D1	qq	scalar	$rac{m_q}{M^3}ar\chi\chiar q q$
rel	ated to spin d	ependent DM-	nucleon interactions
D5	qq	vector	$\frac{1}{M_{\star}^2}\bar{\chi}\gamma^{\mu}\chi\bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}q$
D8	qq	axial-vector	$\frac{1}{M_\star^2} \bar{\chi} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \chi \bar{q} \gamma_\mu \gamma^5 q$
D9	qq	tensor	$\frac{1}{M_{\star}^2} \bar{\chi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \chi \bar{q} \sigma_{\mu\nu} q$
D11	gg	scalar	$\frac{1}{4M_\star^3} \bar{\chi} \chi \alpha_s (G^a_{\mu\nu})^2$

1210.4491v2

# **Conditions of EFT**

**1.**  $g_q, g_\chi < 4\pi \rightarrow \frac{m_M}{\Lambda \pi} < \Lambda$  (to stay in perturbative regime) 2.  $m_M > m_{\chi}$  (M can not be produced, but  $\chi$  can)  $\Lambda > \frac{m_M}{4\pi} > \frac{m_{\chi}}{4\pi}$ Johanna Gramling **3.**  $m_M > Q_{TR}$  $\Lambda > \frac{m_M}{4\pi} > \frac{Q_{TR}}{4\pi}$ **4.**  $Q_{TR}$  > 2m<sub>y</sub> (DM pair-produced on-shell)

Combining 3 & 4 gives stronger constraint than 2!

$$\Lambda > \frac{Q_{TR}}{4\pi} > \frac{2m_{\chi}}{4\pi}$$

# Spin Independent Limits on Λ



#### Intensive Discussion about how to interpret Mono-X analyses

- G. Busonia, A. De Simonea, E. Morgantec, A. Riotto
  - "On the Validity of the Effective Field Theory for Dark Matter Searches at the LHC", arXiv:1307.2253v1
  - Derive stronger bounds than currently used by LHC experiments
- New models:
  - A. DiFranzo, K. I. Nagao, A. Rajaraman, T.M.P. Tait,
    - Simplified Models for Dark Matter Interacting with Quarks", arXiv:1308.2679v1
  - S. Chang, R. Edezhath, J. Hutchinson, and M. Luty,

"Effective WIMPs", arXiv:1307.8120v1

Yang Bai and Joshua Berger,

"Fermion Portal Dark Matter", arXiv:1308.0612v2 C. Issever, University of Oxford

# Discussion on Validity continued....

- See recent workshop in Chicago
  - Dark Matter at the LHC, 18.09-21.09.2013
  - ATLAS and CMS mono-object teams met with theorists
  - Expect for Run2 improved presentation of limits

## Coming back to CMS Mono-Jet Search

#### EXO-12-048 PAS

#### **Selections**

- ≥1 good vertex
- > 20% E<sub>iet</sub> from charged hadrons
- <70% E<sub>iet</sub> from neutral hadrons or photons
  - $p_T(jet1) > 110 \text{ GeV \& } |\eta_{jet1}| < 2.4$

no other jet with  $p_T$ >30GeV in  $|\eta| < 4.5$ except  $\Delta \phi(j1,j2) < 2.5$ 

no isolated leptons

## **Selection Variable Distributions**



# Background: Z(vv)+jet

- Use data to estimate background
- Select Z(µµ)+jet applying all selections BUT lepton veto
- 2 μ with p<sub>T</sub> > 20 GeV && |η|<2.1</p>
- $\ge$  1 isolated  $\mu$
- 60 GeV < m<sub>µµ</sub> < 120 GeV</p>

# Distribution of $Z(\mu\mu)$ + jet Sample



# Background: Z(vv)+jet

- Use data to estimate background
- Select Z(µµ)+jet applying all selections BUT lepton veto
- 2 μ with p<sub>T</sub> > 20 GeV && |η|<2.1</p>
- $\ge$  1 isolated  $\mu$
- 60 GeV < m<sub>µµ</sub> < 120 GeV</p>

$$N(Z(\nu\nu)) = \frac{N^{\text{obs}} - N^{\text{bgd}}}{A \times \epsilon} \cdot R\left(\frac{Z(\nu\nu)}{Z(\mu\mu)}\right)$$

# Missing E<sub>T</sub> Distribution after all Selections



# Spin Dependent Limits on $\Lambda$



## **Darkmatter-Nucleon Cross Section Limit**



170

## **DM-Nucleon cross section upper limits**



#### **Boosted Mono W/Z Production** 20.3 fb<sup>-1</sup>



1<sup>st</sup> time:

#### ATLAS-CONF-2013-073

- Hadronically decaying W/Z's
- Jet Substructure techniques
  - Cambridge-Aachen 1.2 jets
  - Probe momentum balance
    - $\mathbf{I}$   $\sqrt{y} = \min(p_{T1}, p_{T2}) \Delta R/m_{jet}$

#### Backgrounds

- Z  $\rightarrow$  vv + jet and W/Z  $\rightarrow$  lv/ll + jet
  - Use data control regions
- Diboson, ttbar, single top
  - Use simulation
- Multijet negligible



# **Signal Samples**



Name	Operator	Coefficient
D9	$\overline{\chi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \chi \overline{q} \sigma_{\mu\nu} q$	$1/{M_{*}}^{2}$
D5	χγ <sup>μ</sup> χqγ <sub>μ</sub> q	$1/{M_{*}}^{2}$
D1	<u></u>	$m_q/M_*^3$
C1	χ <sup>†</sup> χ <u>ą</u>	$m_q/{M_*}^2$

#### Interference btw diagrams

- $C(u\chi)=C(d\chi)$ , C=coupling
  - destructive
  - W's p<sub>T</sub> low
- C(uχ) = -C(dχ)
  - Constructive
  - W's p<sub>T</sub> high
- D5 signal generated
  - C(ux)=C(dx)
  - C(ux)=-C(dx)



# **Boosted Mono W/Z Production**



Exclusion limits at 90% CL using shape of m<sub>jet</sub>

Process	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 350 {\rm GeV}$	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 500 { m GeV}$
$Z \to \nu \bar{\nu}$	$400^{+39}_{-34}$	$54^{+8}_{-10}$
$W \to \ell^{\pm} \nu, Z \to \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\mp}$	$210^{+20}_{-18}$	$22_{-5}^{+4}$
WW, WZ, ZZ	$57^{+11}_{-8}$	$9.1^{+1.3}_{-1.1}$
$t\bar{t}$ , single $t$	$39_{-4}^{+10}$	$3.7^{+1.7}_{-1.3}$
Total	$710_{-38}^{+48}$	$89^{+9}_{-12}$
Data	705	89

# Limits on Parameters of effective DM Model



Regions below the lines excluded

m<sub>χ</sub> [GeV] 175

# Limits on Nucleon-x Cross Section



# Limits on Nucleon-x Cross Section



# **Graviton Production in Extra Dimensions**



# Extra Dimensions are not a new idea!

- 1920's Kaluza&Klein unify electromagnetism with gravity
- 1970 String Theory is born
- 1971 SUSY enters the stage
- 1974 Gravitons "pop out" of string theory



# Extra Dimension (ED) Models

ED may explain complexity of particle physicsWhere are they?



#### Gravity is escaping into the extra dimensions.

nttp://www.particleadventure.org/frameless/extra\_dim.html
# **Gravity in Extra Dimension**

At small distances gravity can be very strong, up to 10<sup>38</sup> times stronger:

$$\mathbf{F} \approx \frac{\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{D}}}{\mathbf{r}^{\mathrm{n+2}}} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{D}} = \mathbf{G}\mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{n}} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{n+2}} =$$

$$F \approx \frac{G_{D}}{L^{n} \cdot r^{2}} \approx \frac{G}{r^{2}}$$

G is "diluted" strength of gravity in our 3-dim. space.

G<sub>D</sub> is the (4+n)-dimensional Newton gravity constant.

04.02.2009

C. Issever, University of Oxford

**(2π)**<sup>n</sup>

 $8\pi G_{n}$ 

# **Other Predictions of Extra Dimension Models**

#### **KK particles**



#### C. Issever, University of Oxford

# Exclusion Limits on M<sub>D</sub> from CMS





# **ATLAS Exotics Summary**

#### Limits pushed into 1 TeV regime





# We are at the beginning....



Up to now, small parton luminosity at high masses Large discovery potential: 13 TeV

### Conclusion

- Role of models in Exotics
  - Models are used map our search reach
  - They give us some guidance where to look
  - But, Exotics searches are mainly model-independent.
- Exotics searches coverage
  - Vast range of final states
  - Vast range of models
  - Searches with H boson in final state added
- Searches will continue
  - Continue exploration beyond TeV regimes
  - Push σ-limits at low invariant masses down.

# Literature for Further Reading

- Technicolor and related models
  - http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(81)90173-3
  - http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.55.449
  - http://inspirehep.net/record/205523?In=en
  - http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0146-6410(83)90005-4
- Extra Dimensions
  - http://arxiv.org/pdf/hep-ph/0302189.pdf
  - http://arxiv.org/pdf/gr-qc/0312059.pdf
- Exotics new particles
  - http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(89)90071-9
  - http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X88000035
- GUT: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(81)90059-4</u>